

HISTORICAL QUALITY - 80%					
	EXEMPLARY	PROFICIENT	DEVELOPING	NOVICE	NOT EVIDENT
HISTORICAL ARGUMENT (THESIS OR CLAIM)	☐ Historical argument is consistently supported by analysis and evidence.	☐ Historical argument is mostly supported by analysis and evidence.	☐ Historical argument is somewhat supported by analysis and evidence.	☐ Historical argument is supported by minimal analysis or evidence.	☐
THEME	☐ Annual theme is consistently clear and connected to the topic in the project and argument.	☐ Annual theme is mostly clear and connected to the topic in the project and argument.	☐ Annual theme is somewhat clear and connected to the topic in the project and argument.	☐ Annual theme has minimal clarity and the connection to the topic in the project and argument is unclear.	☐
WIDE RESEARCH	☐ Bibliography includes an extensive variety of types of available sources.	☐ Bibliography includes a sufficient variety of types of available sources.	☐ Bibliography includes a moderate variety of types of available sources.	☐ Bibliography includes a limited variety of types of available sources.	☐
PRIMARY SOURCES	☐ Primary sources consistently support the historical argument.	☐ Primary sources mostly support the historical argument.	☐ Primary sources somewhat support the historical argument.	☐ Primary sources are present but do not necessarily support the historical argument.	☐
HISTORICAL CONTEXT	☐ Relevant connections to the topic's time and place are consistently made and analyzed.	☐ Relevant connections to the topic's time and place are mostly made and analyzed.	☐ Relevant connections to the topic's time and place are somewhat made and analyzed.	☐ Relevant connections to the topic's time and place are limited.	☐
MULTIPLE PERSPECTIVES	☐ Varied perspectives are consistently included throughout the project.	☐ Varied perspectives are mostly included throughout the project.	☐ Varied perspectives are somewhat included throughout the project.	☐ Varied perspectives are included in a limited way.	☐
HISTORICAL ACCURACY	☐ Historical information is consistently accurate, credible, and without critical omissions.	☐ Historical information is mostly accurate, credible, and without critical omissions.	☐ Historical information is somewhat accurate, credible, and without critical omissions.	☐ Historical information has limited accuracy, credibility, or critical omissions that impede understanding.	☐
SIGNIFICANCE IN HISTORY	☐ The impact of the topic is consistently analyzed in the conclusion.	☐ The impact of the topic is mostly analyzed in the conclusion.	☐ The impact of the topic is somewhat analyzed in the conclusion.	☐ The impact of the topic is mentioned.	☐

STRENGTHS & AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

[illegible]

CLARITY OF PRESENTATION - 20%					
	EXEMPLARY	PROFICIENT	DEVELOPING	NOVICE	NOT EVIDENT
WRITTEN MATERIAL AND VISUALS	☐ Text is consistently clear, concise, free of grammatical or mechanical errors, and appropriate to the topic.	☐ Text is mostly clear, concise, free of grammatical or mechanical errors, and appropriate to the topic.	☐ Text is somewhat clear, concise, free of grammatical or mechanical errors, and appropriate to the topic.	☐ Text contains major grammatical or mechanical errors that impede understanding. Text has limited appropriateness to the topic.	☐
	☐ Visuals and media are consistently connected to the argument and enhance the topic.	☐ Visuals and media are mostly connected to the argument and enhance the topic.	☐ Visuals and media are somewhat connected to the argument and enhance the topic.	☐ Visuals and media have limited connection to the argument and may not enhance the topic.	☐
TECHNICAL	☐ Website is consistently clear in structure and organization.	☐ Website is mostly clear in structure and organization.	☐ Website is somewhat clear in structure and organization.	☐ Website has limited structure and organization.	☐
	☐ Font and color choice consistently enhance readability and are appropriate to the topic.	☐ Font and color choice mostly enhance readability and are appropriate to the topic.	☐ Font and color choice somewhat enhance readability and are appropriate to the topic.	☐ Font and color choice limit readability and have limited connection to the topic.	☐
STUDENT VOICE	☐ Student analysis is consistently clear and balanced between their own words/ideas and supporting evidence.	☐ Student analysis is mostly clear and balanced between their own words/ideas and supporting evidence.	☐ Student analysis is somewhat clear and balanced between their own words/ideas and supporting evidence.	☐ Student analysis is limited in clarity and balance between their own words/ideas and supporting evidence.	☐

Website contains no more than 1,200 student-composed words.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Multimedia (optional) total run time is $\leq$ three minutes.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable

Visuals and quotes are credited on the website.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Website contains no links to external content (exempting the Annotated Bibliography).

☐ Yes ☐ No

Process Paper is integrated into the website as a PDF.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Annotated Bibliography is integrated into the website as a PDF.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Annotated Bibliography is separated into primary and secondary sources.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Annotations do not exceed two to three sentences.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Home page contains required information.

☐ Yes ☐ No

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are approximately 20 lines visible. The paper has a slight shadow on the right side, suggesting it's resting on a surface.